PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference R62509PC BÖ/bdx	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/EP2004/012367	Priority date (day/month/year) 04 November 2003 (04.11.2003)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant LUDWIG-MAXIMILIANS- UNIVERSITĀT				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).						
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 10 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.						
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
	Box No. I Basis of the report						
	Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industria applicability						
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention						
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited						
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application					
4.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).						

	Date of issuance of this report 08 May 2006 (08.05.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Yolaine Cussac
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 80

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	*/		·	TATION THE	REC'D 13 JUN 2005		
From NTEI	the RNATIONAL SEAF	RCHING AUTHO	DRITY		PC1		
To:					PCT		
see form PCT/ISA/220				INTERNATION (P	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
• •	cant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHER A See paragraph 2 below			
	national application N EP2004/012367		International filing date (c 02.11.2004	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 04.11.2003			
	national Patent Class N33/574, C12Q1		both national classification	and IPC			
Appl LU[ANS-UNIVERS	SITÄT				
1.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for invitten opinion of the applicant chointernational Burwill not be so cor If this opinion Is, submit to the IPE months from the whichever expire	WIG-MAXIMILIANS-UNIVERSITĂT This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. I Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
3.	For further details	s, see notes to F	Form PCT/ISA/220.				
					·		

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

<u>)</u>))

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Thumb, W

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7350



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/012367

_	Вох	No. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	. With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	 With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: 					
	a. ty	pe of material:				
		a sequence listing				
		atable(s) related to the sequence listing				
b. format of material:						
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
	c. time of filing/furnishing:					
		contained in the international application as filed.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	Е	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4.	Add	tional comments:				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/012367

	k No. III Non-establishment o dicability	f opi	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial	
The	questions whether the claimed ious), or to be industrially applica	inver able i	ntion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non have not been examined in respect of:	
	the entire international application,			
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 1-27 (partially)			
bec	ause:			
Ø	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 22-27 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
	see separate sheet			
	the description, claims or drawi unclear that no meaningful opin		findicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so could be formed (specify):	
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
×	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 1-27 (partially)			
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:			
	the written form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
	See separate sheet for further details			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/012367

	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	invention	າ	
1. ☑ In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has:				06) to pay additional fees, the applicant has:	
		paid additional fee	3.		
		paid additional fees	s under pr	otest.	
		not paid additional	fees.		
2.	☐ This Au	ithority found that the	ne require nal fees.	ment of ur	nity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite
3.	This Authori	ity considers that th	e requirer	ment of un	ity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
	☐ complied	l with			
	□ not comp	olied with for the fol	lowing rea	asons:	
	see se	parate sheet			
4.	Consequent	tly, this report has b	een estat	olished in i	respect of the following parts of the international application:
	☐ all parts.				
	Box No. V industrial a	Reasoned stater	nent und	er Rule 43 explanation	3bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or one supporting such statement
1.	Statement				
	Novelty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-18,20,21 19
	Inventive ste	ep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-21
	Industrial ap	plicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-21
2.	Citations and	d explanations			
	see separat	e sheet			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on	the inter	national application
				mitell	introller approactors

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Form PCT/ISA/237 (January 2004)

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 26 and 27 pertain to a reference data bank for distinguishing prognostically definable AML subtypes with normal karyotype into different prognosis subsets. A data bank as such is characterised only by data contained in said data bank, which are considered to be a mere presentation of information. No international preliminary examination is carried out for the subject-matter of said claims pursuing the provisions of Rule 67.1(v) PCT.

It should further be noted that the technical information presented under points (a) and (b) of claim 26 is related to the method of constructing said data bank and is therefore no characterising technical feature of the data bank as such, claimed in claim 26. An analogous argumentation also applies to the subject-matter of claims 22-25. Presentation of information is not patentable whether the claims are directed to the presentation of the information per se or to apparatus for presenting the information which are solely defined by the information recorded (see also the Preliminary Examination Guidelines, Chapter 9, Item 9.12). Again, the method for obtaining a data bank does not define the data bank as such.

Re Item IV

Lack of unity of invention

- 1. The application lacks unity within the meaning of Rule 13.1 PCT. The problem to be solved in the present application is the provision of markers for distinguishing prognostically definable AML subtypes with normal karyotype. The single general concept which can be identified a priori as linking the various inventions and which forms a solution to the above problem relates to the use of "markers for prognostically definable subtypes of AML". The use of marker genes/nucleotides disclosed in table 1 form 50 different solutions to the above problem.
 - However, the concept of using marker genes for distinguishing prognostically definable AML subtypes is know in the art.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/012367

The document US-A-2003/0119043 (**D1**) discloses detection of overexpression of the gene BAALC in order to distinguish AML subtypes with different prognosis. The patient samples include samples of individuals with normal karyotype. The median event-free survival is compared between different groups of patients differing with respect to BAALC expression (see Examples 5 and 6).

Document WO-A-03/083140 (**D2**) discloses expression analysis that can identify each of the known prognostically and therapeutically relevant subgroups of leukemia, in particular ALL, and predict the risk of secondary AML in patients having leukemia. D2 provides groups of genes that are differentially expressed in diagnostic leukemia samples of patients in different risk groups, or in patients that go on to develop a relapse or a therapy induced AML. Some of these genes are identified based on gene expression levels for 12.600 probes in 360 leukemia samples, using microarrays (see pages 5-14).

In the light of D1 and D2 the above identified single general concept is not novel and inventive and thus cannot be the single general inventive concept as required by Rule 13.1 PCT.

The present invention is thus considered not the fulfil the requirements of unity as laid down in Rule 13.1 PCT.

No other technical features could be identified that form a technical relationship among each of the separate inventions claimed and which could be considered as same or corresponding special technical features within the meaning of Rule 13.2 PCT.

The first invention was searched, namely methods relating to distinguishing prognostically definable AML subtypes with normal karyotype into different prognosis subsets using expression of LOC255480 as a marker; kits and apparatus for distinguishing prognostically definable AML subtypes with normal karyotype into different prognosis subsets using said marker.

2. The Examining Authority considers that the following separate inventions or groups of inventions are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept:

Invention 1: Claims 1-27 (all partially)

A method for distinguishing prognostically definable AML subtypes with normal karyotype into different prognosis subsets, the method comprising determining the expression level of the marker LOC255480. Use of said marker for the manufacture of a diagnostic. A diagnostic kit containing said marker and an apparatus comprising a reference data bank, wherein the reference data bank is obtainable by determining the expression level of LOC255480.

Inventions 2-50: Claims 1-27 (all partially)

Methods for distinguishing prognostically definable AML subtypes with normal karyotype into different prognosis subsets, the method comprising determining individually the expression level of the markers listed in table 1. Use of said markers for the manufacture of diagnostics. Diagnostic kits containing said markers and apparatus comprising a reference data bank, wherein the reference data bank is obtainable by determining the expression levels of said markers.

The following assessment of novelty and inventive step will only pertain to subjectmatter for which a search report has been established, i.e. invention 1.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1.1 Claim 19 does not meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.
 Claim 19 refers to kit for distinguishing leukemia subtypes containing at least LOC255480.
 - This must be construed as meaning merely a reagent suitable for carrying out the method. The intended use of a product is not a technical feature of the product per

se. Therefore, commercially available microarrays, such as the U133 microarrays of Affymetrix, comprising LOC255480 specific probes are novelty-destroying for the subject-matter of claim 19 within the meaning of Article 33(2) PCT.

- 1.2 Claims 1-18 and 20-21 are novel within the meaning of Article 33(2) PCT, since the prior art does not teach the use of LOC255480 as a marker for distinguishing prognostically definable AML subtypes with normal karyotype into different prognosis subsets, or kits and apparatus comprising a reference for leukemia subtypes based on LOC255480 expression.
- 2.1 Claim 1 does not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.
 Document D1, which can be considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses a marker (see item IV,1.) for distinguishing prognostically definable AML subtypes.

The underlying objective technical problem may therefore be seen in providing a further marker for distinguishing prognostically definable leukemia subtypes. As already pointed out under item IV,1. above, the use of differential gene expression analysis using micorarrays of gene probes for defining prognostically defined leukemia subtypes is described in document D2. In addition, several other documents pertain to the concept of identifying gene expression profiles in order to characterise leukemia subtypes (see for example Kohlmann et al. (2003) Genes, Chromosomes & Cancer, Vol. 37, pp. 396-405 (**D3**): Abstract and tables 2 and 3; WO-A-03/039443 (**D4**) the whole document).

Moreover, methods for classifying samples based on gene expression data have become common general knowledge in the art, also in the field of leukemia diagnosis (see for example EP-A-1 043 676 (**D5**)).

The above referred-to documents represent a non-exhaustive list of documents dealing with the identification of marker genes indicative of a specific leukemia subtype.

In particular documents D1 and D2 contain direct pointers that it is possible to identify gene markers for distinguishing prognostically definable leukemia subtypes into different prognosis subsets.

Moreover, the use of LOC255480 as a marker does not appear to be associated with

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/012367

an unexpected and surprising technical effect in view of the above-cited documents which could confer an inventive step compared to other markers identified by gene expression profiling using standard microarray technology.

It would therefore be obvious for a person skilled in the art to use differential gene expression based on microarray analysis in order to identify further markers, e.g. LOC255480, for specific prognostically definable leukemia subtypes in order to solve the above-stated problem.

Hence, claim 1 cannot be considered as being inventive within the meaning of Article 33(3) PCT.

- 2.2 Claims 2-21 refer to standard embodiments in the art of microarray analysis and diagnostics and do not add technical features which would confer an inventive activity.
 - Claims 2-21 thus do also not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 3. Should the objection under Rule 67.1(v) be overcome, the applicant is referred to documents Dugas et al. (2002), In silico biology, Vol. 2, pp. 383-391 (**D6**) and Dugas et al. (2001) Leukemia, Vol. 15, pp. 1805-1810 (**D7**), which disclose databases containing data from patients suffering from leukemia. Said data include characterisation of subtypes, and correlation of cytogenetic findings with, e.g., microarray data (D6: page 1807, col. 2; D7: the whole document). Therefore, claims pertaining to the generation of reference databases for the analysis of leukemia subtypes based on gene expression data could not be considered as being novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

In order to avoid any unclarity within the meaning of Article 6 PCT, the abbreviation AML should be defined the first time they are mentioned in the claims.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Separate Sheet) (Sheet 5) (EPO-January 2004)